Appl. No.: 10/723,401 Docket No.: SECH-10159

Amdt. Dated: May 23, 2007

Reply of Office action of January 23, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-17 are currently pending in the application. Applicants request reconsideration

of the application in light of the following remarks.

Request to Admit the Response

Applicants believe that the foregoing response complies with the Examiner's

requirement of a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Kim et al.

(Article Porous ZRO2 bone scaffold coated with hydroxyapatite with fluorapatite intermediate

layer). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection and request reconsideration of the claims.

Applicants contend that Hae-Won Kim and Hyoun-Ee Kim, the inventors of the present patent application, are authors on Kim et al. An Affidavit under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 is being filed

concurrently herewith, which states that the relevant portions of Kim et al. originated from Hae-

Won Kim and Hyoun-Ee Kim. Applicants contend that the other contributors cited in the Kim

et al. article, namely, Seung-Yong Lee, Chang-Jun Bae, Yoon-Jung Noh, Hyun-Man Kim, and

Jea Seung Ko, were simply assistants with respect to the inventions of this application, and not

inventors. Accordingly, Applicants believe that this Affidavit obviates the §102(a) rejection of

claims 1-17 in view of Kim et al.

5

Appl. No.: 10/723,401 Docket No.: SECH-10159

Amdt. Dated: May 23, 2007

Reply of Office action of January 23, 2007

In addition, Applicants believe that a §102(b) rejection of claims 1-17 is improper

because Kim et al. was published less than one year prior to the date of the application for patent

in the United States. Hence, $Kim\ et\ al.$ was published within the one year grace period allowed

 $under\ 35\ U.S.C.\ 102\ (b).\ Accordingly,\ Applicants\ respectfully\ request\ that\ the\ anticipation$

rejections of claims 1-17 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

It is requested that a one-month extension of time be granted for the filing of this response, and

the appropriate extension filing fee of \$60 should be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-0513.

If any fees, including extension of time fees or additional claims fees, are due as a result of this

 $response, please \, charge \, Deposit \, Account \, No. \, 19-0513. \, \, This \, authorization \, is \, intended \, to \, act \, as \,$

a constructive petition for an extension of time, should an extension of time be needed as a

result of this response. The examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned if this would in

any way advance the prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 23, 2007

By: /Lori F. Cuomo/ Lori F. Cuomo Reg. No. 34,527

Customer Number: 23123

SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS LLP

18 East University Drive, #101 Mesa, AZ 85201

(480) 655-0073

6